International application No

PCT/US04/35929

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER						
IPC(7) : C12Q 1/68						
US CL · 435/6, 91.2 According b International Patent Classification (TPO or to both national classification and IPC						
B. FIELDS SEARCHED						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 435/6, 91.2						
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched						
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)						
C. DOCU	JMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where a	nnropriate.	of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X	BIANCHI et al. Large Amounts of Cell-free Fetal D. Clinical Chemistry, 2QQI, Vol. 47, No. 10, pages 18	NA are pr		1-3, 5-6, 12-15, 19, 22, 25-30, 34, 38		
Y				4, 16-18, 55-59, 97- 102, 115-125		
х Y	LAPIERRE et al. Analysis of uncultured amniocytes a prospective prenatal study. Prenatal Diagnosis, 20			1,2, 4, 14-16, 19, 21- 32, 34, 38		
1				7-11, 21, 43-58, 60-73, 75, 79, 84-88, 90-127		
Y	VELTMAN et al. High-Throughput Analysis of Sub by Use of Array-Based Comparative Genomic Hybric Genetics, 09 April 2002, Vol. 70, pages 1269-1276.	dization.		7-11, 21, 43-58, 60-73, 75, 79, 84-88, 90-127		
<u> </u>		D				
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.		See patent family annex.			
"A" document	pecial categories of cited documents definingthe general state of the art which is not considered to be of	"T"	later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the applica principle or theory underlyingthe inve	ation but cited to understand the		
particular "E" earlier app	resevance olication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance, the c considered novel or cannot be consider when the document is taken alone			
	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to he publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"Y"	document of particular relevance, the considered to involve an inventive step	when the document is combined		
"O" document	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		with one or more other such documents obvious to a person skilled in the art	s, such combination being		
				amily		
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 1 a 1 b 1 c 2007 (15.11.2007)				h report		
15 November 2005 (15.11.2005)						
tone and maning actions of the service of the servi			Horry 1			
Commissioner for Patents		Jul ¹ et S	Juliet Switzer C - OA jJU			
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Telephone No. 571 272 1600						
ormPCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (April 2005)						

International application No. PCT/US04/3₅929

	tinuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Y	PINKEL et al. High resolution analysis of DNA copy number variation using comparative genomic hybridization to microarrays. Nature Genetics, 20 October 1998, Vol. 20, pages 207-211.	7-11, 21, 43-58, 60- 73, 75, 79, 84-88, 90- 127		
		·		
·	·			
:				

International application No.

PCT/US04/35929

Box No. π Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)		
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:		
1. I Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:		
2. I 1 Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:		
3. I_I Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).		
Box No. in Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)		
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Please See Continuation Sheet 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all		
searchable claims.		
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fees.		
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:		
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: all: 1-29, 31, 32, 34, 38, 43-70, 72, 73, 75, 84-88, 90-127; part: 30 and 71		
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.		
The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(2)) (April 2005)

	INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT	PCT/US04/35929
	•	
	BOX III OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING Group 1, claims 1-127, drawn to methods for prenatal diagnosis	JG
	Group 2, claims 128-137, drawn to kits comprising materials and an array	
	Further lack of unity regarding species applied to each group	
	species regarding chromosomal abnormalities	
ii m IV v vi vii	extra chromosome 2 l missing chromosome 2 l extra portion of chromosome 2 l missing portion of chromosome 2 l missing portion of chromosome 3 l rearrangement of chromosome 2 l extra chromosome 13	
viii k	extra chromosome 18 extra chromosome X	
x xı xıı \ui	extra chromosome Y a chromosomal aberration involving chromosome 1 a deletion of chromosomal portion Iq21 a deletion of chromosome portion 4p16	
xiv xv xvi	an aberration involving chromosome 5 a deletion on chromosome 5 an aberration involving chromosome 7	
XVI XVI XIX XX XXI XXI	a deletion of 7qll 23 in a aberration involving chromosome 8 a translocation involving chromosome 9 and chromosome 22 an aberration involving chromosome 11 a deletion of chromosome portion 13ql5 a deletion of chromosome portion 15ql 1-ql3	1
XXIII XXIV XXX XXX	deletion of chromosome 15q21 1	
xx\i xx\i xxix	n deletion of chromosome portion 22q11	

International application No

 $Form PCT/ISA/210 \ (extra \ sheet) \ (Ap\pi 1 \ 2005)$

```
species regarding disease or condition
     disease associated with aneuploidy
XXX
   Down syndrome
xxx ii Patau syndrome
xxxin Edward syndrome
xxx Iv Turner syndrome
XXV Klinefelter syndrome
xxx vi XYY disease
xxxvii X-linked disorder
xxxv111 Hemophilia A
xxxix Duchenne muscular dystrophy
    Lesch-Nyhan syndrome
    severe combined immunodeficiency
xli: Fragile X-syndrome
     disease associated with microdeletion/microduplication syndrome
хh;ф
    Prader-Willi syndrome
xlr
    Angelman syndrome
    DiGeorge syndrome
x Ivi
    [ Smith-Magems syndrome
xľvi
xl'vitl Rubmstem-Taybi syndrome
     Miller-Dieker syndrome
    Williams syndrome
    Charcot-Ma n e-Tooth syndrome
h
    disease associated with subtelome nc rearrangement
    Cn du Chat syndrome
Im
    Retinoblastoma
liv!
    Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome
    Wilms tumor
lvi
    spinobulbar muscular atrophy
Ivnĺ
    cystic fibrosis
    Gaucher disease
lix l
    Marfan syndrome
    sickle cell anemia
```

The first named which will be searched in accordance with the PCT rules is group 1, species group 1, regarding species (i) for the chromosomal aberration and species (xxx) for the disease or condition. Thus, the claims searched with the mam invention will be claims 1-29, 31, 32, 34, 38, 43-70, 72, 73, 75, 79, 84-88, 90-127 in their entirety and claims 30, 71 as they relates to an extra chromosome 21. Thus, claims 35-37, 39-42, 71, 76-78, 80-83, and 89 will not be searched as part of the mam invention because these do not include the first named species of chromosomal aberration or disease

The inventions listed as Groups 1-2 and the species listed as (i)-(xxix) and (xxx)-(lxi) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13 1 because, under PCT Rule 13 2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following

With regard to the groups there is no special technical feature that joins the claimed inventions. Turning to the first named invention in claim 1, for example, Lebo (US 5654148) teach a method of prenatal diagnosis comprising steps of providing a sample of amniotic fluid fetal DNA (Example 1, Col 16, lines 10-46), analyzing the fetal DNA by hybridization to obtain fetal genetic information (Example VI, Col 18, lines 27-60), and based on the fetal genomic information obtained, providing a prenatal diagnosis (Example VI, Col 18, lines 61-67). Thus, since the first named invention is anticipated in the prior art, there is no special technical feature that j oins the claimed inventions m view of the prior art. Regarding the chromosomal aberration species, these species have in common only that they are aberrations within the human genome. It was known at the time the invention was made that aberrations in the human genome existed, as exemplified by Lebo who provides a method for detecting such aberrations. Thus, the species listed regarding chromosomal aberrations are not joined by a special technical feature but instead each represent separate structural aberrations to be detected. Likewise regarding the species of disease recited in the claimed invention, these are all diseases that do not share a common etiology or cause, other that that they are associated with genomic aberrations. This is not a special technical feature that joins the species since diseases associated with chromosomal aberrations were known at the time the invention was made. Therefore the lack of unity as set forth is proper

The first named which will be searched in accordance with the PCT rules is group 1, species group 1, regarding species (i) for the chromosomal aberration and species (xxx) for the disease or condition. Thus, the claims searched with the main invention will be claims 1-29,-31,-32,-34,-38,-43-70,72,-73,-75,-79,-84-88,-90-127-in-their-entirety-and-claims-30,-7-1-as-they-telates-te-an-extra-chromosome-^; —

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (Apπl 2005)

International application No. PCT/US04/35929

Thus, claims 35-37, 39-42, 71, 76-78, 80-83, and 89 will not be searched as part of the main invention because these do not include the first named species of chromosomal aberration or disease.